

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,102	11/21/2001	Eamon P. Gleeson	839-1149	9286
75	7590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			OEN, WILLIAM L	
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22201			2855	-

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence	09/989,102	GLEESON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	2.1			
	William L Oen	2855	Bu			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, ,			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT0	D-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate	.152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/25/2002</u> .	6) Other:	atont Application (F10-	102)			
S. Datast and Todomark Office			 			

Application/Control Number: 09/989,102

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,943,759) in view of Copp, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 5,190,219).

Kato et al teach all of the essential features of the claimed invention including a dynamic pressure probe for a combustor having a holder body with a pressure sensing passage. It is noted that although Kato et al teaches the purpose, function, and effect of the claimed invention, Kato et al lack an explicit teaching of the particular configuration of their probe. However, Copp, Jr does teach an apparatus having a pressure sensor and pressure chamber located in a housing portion arranged substantially

Application/Control Number: 09/989,102 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

perpendicularly to the pressure sensing passage, and wherein the pressure chamber communicates with the pressure sensing passage via a relatively small aperture in a wall separating the pressure chamber from the pressure sensing passage. In view of this teaching by Copp, Jr, and because it would have been a simple and expedient modification, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, if desired, to have included in Kato et al a pressure sensor and pressure chamber located in a housing portion arranged substantially perpendicularly to the pressure sensing passage, and wherein the pressure chamber communicates with the pressure sensing passage via a relatively small aperture in a wall separating the pressure chamber from the pressure sensing passage. Further features, e.g., the use of "O" ring seals and particular geometries (relative diameters or bores, etc.) are considered to have been mere matters of obvious design choice clearly within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention because these features are widely known and would be simple and expedient to include in the apparatus of Kato et al as modified in the above manner by Copp, Jr.

The method claims 21-23 are rendered obvious in view of the apparatus of Kato et al as modified in the above manner by Copp, Jr.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. Art Unit: 2855

6,708,568. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L Oen whose telephone number is 571-272-2180. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30 am - 9:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> William L Oen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2855

WL Oen September 16, 2004